

## Phil 350 Philosophy of Science

Instructor: **Dr. Isabelle Peschard**

### COURSE OUTLINE

#### W1-5: Scientific Development

- Inductive method

**Bacon**, *The New Organon* (excerpt on Inductive Method)

**Case Study: *The nature of heat***

**Hume** *An Enquiry concerning Human Understanding* [excerpts]

- Hypothetico-Deductive method

**C. Hempel**, *Philosophy of Natural Science* (excerpts)

'Scientific Inquiry: Invention and test'

'The test of a hypothesis: Its logic and its force'

**Case Study: *Semmelweis' inquiry***

**H. Reichendach**, excerpt from *Experience and Prediction*

- Normal Science, Paradigms and Revolutions

**T. Kuhn**, *The structure of scientific revolutions* (excerpts)

**Case Studies: *The copernican revolution***

***The beginning of the science of electricity***

- Model construction and model testing (DNA)

**R. Giere**, *Understanding Scientific Reasoning* (excerpts)

**Case Study: *The model of the DNA molecule***

**Assignment 1:** compare the different methods, explaining why one method is not sufficient to account for the diversity of scientific activity, and illustrate the use of each of these methods with new examples

#### W6-9: Scientific Reliability and Objectivity

- Experimental Reliability

**F Guala:** Experimentation, methods and errors

**P. Achinstein:** Excerpt from Evidence, Explanation, and Realism  
**Case Study:** *Experiments on cathode rays*

**L. Lloyd:** 'When the models are right and the data are wrong'  
**Case study:** *Measurement of the temperature of the troposphere*

- Objectivity (peer-review, plurality of perspectives)

**L. Daston and P. Galison:** 'Short History of Objectivity'

**H. Longino** 'Values and Objectivity' (Reader)

**Case study:** *Research on the hormonal basis of "sex-differentiated behavior"*

**Assignment 2:** students should select one case study in the list provided by the instructor and construct a coherent and sound argument with support from multiple sources to support or contest the claim, model or theory in question. Make sure to indicate clearly the nature of the source of the information that will be used and to distinguish scholarly/non-scholarly information and primary/secondary sources

## W10-12: Values in Science and Ethical dilemma

- Values in science

**D. Allchin:** "Values in Science and in Science Education".

**Philip Kitcher:** Excerpt from Science, truth and democracy  
Ethics of inquiry

**Alison Wylie:** The engendering of archeology

**Case study:** *Archeological study of the emergence of agriculture*

**E. Anderson:** 'Use of value judgments in science'

**Case Study:** *Anthropological research on divorce*

- Ethical dilemma and choice

**T. Fischmann and E. Hildt (eds)** *Ethical Dilemma in Prenatal Diagnosis*  
(excerpt)

**B. Orlans** *In the Name of Science: Issues in Responsible Animal Experimentation*  
(excerpt)

**Case study:** *Human genome*

**Assignment 3:** investigate the different types of predicted consequences of climate change, and the different aspects of the decisions that need to be taken to avoid these consequences.

## W13-15: Science and Reality

- Knowing reality

**G.Gutting:** "Scientific Realism versus Empirical Constructivism"

**E. McMullin:** "A Case for Scientific Realism"

**I Hacking:** Excerpt from: The social construction of what?

***Case Study: Pasteur and the birth of lactic acid ferment***

- Transforming reality

**Osborne, J.** (2000) Science for citizenship

**Bodmer, W., F.**(1985) *The Public Understanding of Science*

***Case study: Models of climate change***

***Case study: Vaccination***

**Assignment 4: research on the use of vaccination in different countries and analyze the causes and consequences of the differences in the use of vaccination.**